



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/056,550	01/25/2002	Richard E. Michaelson	3718611.04548	8841
29159	7590	02/24/2010	EXAMINER	
K&L Gates LLP			YOO, JASSON H	
P.O. Box 1135				
CHICAGO, IL 60690			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3714	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/24/2010	ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

chicago.patents@klgates.com



Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussed possible claim amendments to overcome the references of Walker, Walker, and Taylor. It was discussed that amending the claims to incorporate features of a graphical display would make the combinations of Walker, Walker, and Taylor less obvious. However, Applicant's representative noted that the features of a graphical display were claimed in previously filed claims, filed on 10/24/08. Applicant's representative also noted that incorporating features of a graphical display would require the restriction requirement made on 2/27/08 to be withdrawn, and would entail an examination of independent claim of a graphical display (such as claim 48 filed on 11/26/07). The examiner suggested that a formal response to the recently filed amendments should be made in view of the new references (Walker 6077163, Walker 6012983, Taylor 20020132660) before considering propose claim amendments.

**\*Examiners Note\***

After reevaluating the restriction requirement made on 2/27/08, the examiner maintains the restriction requirement and asserts that the restriction requirement made on 2/27/08 is proper. As noted in the restriction requirement, Claims 42-47, 51-56 is directed to game play intervals and a game with a payout added to a value total. Claims 48-50, 51-56 are directed to graphical indicator to display the amount of play time. The claims are considered to be subcombinations usable together. Claims 42-47, 51-56 have limitations of: deducting a fee from the value total for at least one interval, determining a payout value, adding payout value total to a value total, and repeating the steps of deducting a fee and adding payout at least once. Claims 48-50, 51-56 have limitations of: a graphical indicator to specific type of interval of time. Claims 42-47, 51-56 do not require the graphical indicator and the specifics of displaying an amount of time. Claims 42-47, 51-56 can be performed without a graphical display indicator, or with a different indicator. For instance, the invention may not use a graphical indicator or may use a graphical indicator representing a value total amount instead of an amount of time. Claims 48-50, 57-59 do not require a value payout and adding the value payout to a value total. Furthermore, the claims fail to specify that steps of deducting a fee and increasing a value total are repeated. The increase of time may occur by a one time fee. In addition, a game fee can be used to increase the time instead of a payout.